

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

 \mathcal{V}

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,376	09/29/2003	Peter Dickey	249212023500	6858
25226 7590 12/11/2007 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL RD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018			EXAMINER	
			LOWE, MICHAEL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action					
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/675,376		DICKEY ET AL.		
Examiner		Art Unit		
	M. Scott Lowe	3652		

Advisory Action	10/675,376 DICKEY ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M. Scott Lowe	3652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Americanent	1 102-32-7.
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10-17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	ei IPF	PATRICK MACKEY	KAMINER

TEO' CENTER 3800

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued that Takano does not teach a motor fixed with respect to the member it is moving, however Yoshida is the teaching reference for this not Takano. It would be clear to one of ordinary skill that Yoshida's teaching of having a motor fixed to the member it is moving would be useful to members moving in any direction.